1	Approved For CONTRACT INSPECTION	Release 2	2003/01/2	8 OHA-RDP78B04770A0026Q0	0020028-3	
TO:	то:			DATE		
CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT			2 February 1966			
	TRACT ADMINISTRATION BRANCH/PD/OL	& SEITIE	:WEN.L	INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final	, so state)	
· •	SIMINOIT/ FD/ OL			ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE		
NAME OF	CONTRACTOR			Indefinite		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
.1						
TYPE OF	COMMODITY OR SERVICE					
_						
EC	uipment and Studies	(Series	of Pro	ects under our contract)		
THE CONT	RACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE] No	THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATE FUNDS TO YES NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE REC-			
	A TES	J NO		OMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SP REVERSE HEREOF, IF KNOWN, INDI	ONSORING OFF	ICE, ON
PER CENT	OF WORK COMPLETED .		•,	DITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.	CATE MAGNITO	DE OF AL
PER CENT	OF FUNDS EXPENDED -					
·	HAS AN INTERIM REPORT. FI	NAL REPORT,	PROTOTYPE	OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED	FROM THE CO	NTRACTOR
DURII	NG THE PERIOD? XX YES	NO (If	yes, give	details on reverse side.)		
(If)	HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROP res, indicate items, quant	ERTY BEEN DE ity, and cos	LIVERED TO	CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? see side.)	YES	X N
		<u></u>	INCE	IT I VES		
	AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT	YES	NOTE:			
	CHECK TYPE COST PERFORMANCE	(∀1	LIVERY	USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCEN	TIVE EVALUAT	ION.
`	- FERFORMANCE					
 		OVERALL	PERFORMAI	NCE OF CONTRACTOR		
¹· [_]	OUTSTANDING	3. A	BOVE AVERA	GE 5. BELOW AVERAGE 7	'- UNSA	TISFACTO
2.	EXCELLENT	4. A	VERAGE	6. BARELY ADEQUATE		
		CONTRACTOR	10 11110171	SFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDI		
REVE	RSE SIDE.	CONTRACTOR	IS UNSAIT	SPACIORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDI	CATE REASONS	ON
	Series of	projects	. descri	bed on reverse.		
			DE0010-51	DED ACTION		
	CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED			WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING		
_				SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE	!	
	TERMINATE			OTHER (Specify)		
•	IF TERMINATION IS RECOMME	NDED OR IF	THIS IS A	FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVE	RSE IN NARRA	TIVE
FORM	ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHER	ICE AND CERT E APPLICABLE	IFY THAT A E. THE FOL	LL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CO LOWING:	NTRACT HAVE	BEEN
RECE					<u> </u>	
RECE		REC'D	DOES NOT	ITEM	DEC:0	DOES N
RECE	ITEM		APPLY		REC D	APPL'
RECE				MANUALS		
PROTOTYPE	s			FINAL DEDAGE		1
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS				FINAL REPORT		
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS	S AND SPECIFICATIONS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS PRODUCTIO END ITEMS	S AND SPECIFICATIONS	-R				
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS PRODUCTIO END ITEMS DATE OF L	S AND SPECIFICATIONS N AND/OR OTHER AST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTO	R		SPECIAL TOOLING		
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS PRODUCTIO END ITEMS DATE OF L	S AND SPECIFICATIONS N AND/OR OTHER	PR		SPECIAL TOOLING OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY	view by	
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS PRODUCTION END ITEMS DATE OF L	S AND SPECIFICATIONS N AND/OR OTHER AST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTO January	-R		OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY DIVISION Declass Rev	view by	
PROTOTYPE DRAWINGS PRODUCTIO END ITEMS DATE OF L SIGNATURE	S AND SPECIFICATIONS N AND/OR OTHER AST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTO January	R		SPECIAL TOOLING OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY	view by	

1897 PREVIOUS UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET (12-36)

25X1

Approximated F5 - Refers = 2003/01/28 : CIA-RDP - ROLL - R

- PAR 207 "Definitive Study of Contact Printers." Final Report due in February. Proceeding satisfactorily.
- PAR 202/224 "Briefing Print Enlarger." Breadboard and tests in final stage. Have waived the final report in order to construct the Prototype which has been authorized as PAR 243. This project is considered outstanding.
- PAR 211 "Microdensitometer Study of Effects of Processing." Final Report received on 1 November 1965. Evaluation completed on 31 January. Considered Unsatisfactory.
- PAR 212 "Color Acquisition System Review Study." Final Report was received 1 November. Evaluation completed 31 January. Considered Barely Adequate.
- PAR 213 "Color Reproduction Systems Review." Final Report was evaluated on 28 December 1965. Considered Below Average.
- PAR 216 "Laser Photographic Exposure." Evaluation of Final Report was completed on 29 October 1965. Considered Unsatisfactory.
- PAR 217 "Optimization of Lasers." Final Report was received on 1 Nov. 1965.

 Evaluation was completed on 18 January 1966. Considered Unsatisfactory.
- PAR 233 "Zoom Projection Lens." Work has just begun. Visited on 31 January and 1 February 1966. Proceeding satisfactorily.
- PAR 242A "Color Demonstration Materials." Proceeding satisfactorily.
- PAR 243 "Briefing Print Enlarger Prototype." Commenced this project in January 1966. Great expectations!

COMMENTS:

PAR 211, PAR 212, PAR 213, PAR 216 and PAR 217 all appear to be challenges not met by this particular division of _____ They probably should not have been assigned to _____ as projects since so little has been given in return. Recommend we notify _____ of general poor quality of research and reporting, then close out these particular projects as "water under the bridge".

Note: PARs $\underline{214}$ and $\underline{215}$ were delivered and installed in NPIC in October/November 1965. They are still undergoing experimental operations although the PARs are completed.

25X1

25X1 25X1 25X1